

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters 47 (2006) 3145-3148

Tetrahedron Letters

Organocatalytic enantioselective Michael addition of thioacetic acid to enones

Hao Li, Liansuo Zu, Jian Wang and Wei Wang*

Department of Chemistry, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001, USA

Received 6 February 2006; revised 18 February 2006; accepted 23 February 2006 Available online 10 March 2006

Abstract—An enantioselective, organocatalytic Michael addition reaction of thioacetic acid with enones has been developed. The process, catalyzed by a chiral bifunctional amine thiourea, furnishes products in excellent yields with up to 63% ee. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The preparation of sulfur-containing molecules has long been a mainstay of organic synthesis as a result of their broad application to organic and medicinal chemistry.¹ Conjugate addition of sulfur-centered nucleophiles to α , β -unsaturated carbonyls serves as a powerful synthetic method in this area of sulfur chemistry.²⁻⁵ While an asymmetric version of this Michael addition process would furnish enantiomerically enriched adducts, to date reports of this reaction are sparse.^{4,5} A great deal of effort has been directed toward the use of strong nucleophilic thiols as a Michael donor.^{4,5} However. the employment of weakly nucleophilic thioacid (RCOSH) for the Michael addition reaction has not been explored. From a synthetic perspective, the resulting thioester can be readily transformed into versatile SH group under various, mild reaction conditions.⁶ Along this line, recently, we have disclosed an organocatalytic enantioselective approach for the Michael addition of thioacetic acid to β-nitrostyrenes in high yields (91–98%) with up to 70% ee.⁷ In our continuing effort in the area, we wish to describe the results of an investigation which has led to the development of an efficient method for carrying out enantioselective Michael addition reactions of thioacetic acid with α,β -unsaturated ketones by using a bifunctional amine thiourea.

In the exploratory investigation, we surveyed six bifunctional organocatalysts $^{8-10}$ including Takemoto's cata-

lyst I,^{11,12} chiral binaphthyl-derived amine thiourea II,¹³ developed in our laboratory, a quinine-based thiourea III,¹⁴ and cinchona alkaloids quinine IV, quinidine V, and quinine-OH VI.¹⁵ These catalysts can provide two site activations of substrates (Fig. 1). Subsequently, such synergistic activation by two functionalities on the catalyst can lead to specific control of the transition state structure, thus resulting in products with good yields and high stereocontrol.¹⁶ To test their catalytic ability to promote asymmetric Michael addition, a reaction between *trans*-chalcone 1a and thioacetic acid 2 in the presence of 10 mol % catalyst in Et₂O at rt was carried out. Examination of the results of the studies reveals that the organocatalyzed processes proceeded smoothly (3–4 h) in high yields (\geq 90%), but the enantioselectivities varied significantly (Table 1). Among the organocatalysts probed, catalyst I displayed the highest enantioselectivity (58% ee, Table 1, entry 1). No or lower ee was observed for other organocatalysts (entries 2-6). Utilization of thiobenzoic acid (2b) as a Michael donor resulted in longer time (12 h), lower yield (41%) and poorer 33% ee (Table 1, entry 7).

A survey of solvents revealed that the reaction media had a significant effect on this process. For example, the reaction carried out in Et₂O and THF gave highest enantioselectivities (58%, 58%, respectively, Table 2, entries 1 and 3). Lower enantioselectivities were observed when other solvents were used in the processes (Table 2, entries 4–9). By lowering the temperature to 0 °C for reaction in Et₂O, interestingly the enantioselectivity was decreased to 44% ee (Table 2, entry 2). Thus, Et₂O was selected as the reaction medium for reactions to probe the scope of the asymmetric processes at room temperature.

Keywords: Amine thiourea; Asymmetric organocatalysis; Enones; Michael addition reaction; Thioacetic acid.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 505 277 0756; fax.: +1 505 277 2609; e-mail: wwang@unm.edu

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.02.140



Figure 1. Organocatalysts for asymmetric Michael addition reactions.

To demonstrate the generality of direct Michael reactions catalyzed by thiourea I, reactions of a variety of enones 1 with thioacetic acid 2 in Et₂O at rt were explored (Table 3). All processes took place smoothly to give products in excellent yields (>95%) with moderate ee (33–65% ee, entries 1–2 and 4–10). Relatively low ee values were observed for the chalcones containing electron-withdrawing groups (Table 3, entries 2 and 4–6). However, the chalcones containing neutral or electrondonating groups gave products with higher enantioselectivities (entries 1 and 7–9). The reaction is also applicable

Table 2. Effect of solvents on the asymmetric Michael addition reactions^a

Ph 1a	O Ph + AcSF a 2a	Cata (10 r solv	alyst I AcS nol%) vent, rt Ph	O Ph Ba
Entry	Solvent	<i>t</i> (h)	Yield ^b (%)	ee ^c (%)
1	Et ₂ O	3	95	58
2^{d}	Et ₂ O	8	93	44
3	THF	4	93	58
4	Toluene	4	90	27
5	CH_2Cl_2	4	92	43
6	CHCl ₃	4	92	47
7	CH ₃ CN	4	91	35
8	Dioxane	4	92	38
9	Benzene	4	90	24

^a See footnote a in Table 1.

^b Isolated yield after chromatographic purification.

^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H).

^d The reaction was run at 0 °C.

to heterocyclic systems (e.g., thiophene, entry 10) with 55% ee. When *trans*-4-phenyl-3-buten-2-one was used as Michael acceptor, the reaction occurred in high yield (93%) with lower ee (15%) (entry 11). Interestingly, no ee was observed for enone containing aliphatic substituents at both ends (entry 12). In a controlled study, in the absence of catalyst **I**, the reaction proceeded much slower with lower yield (24 h, 75% yield) (Table 3, entry 3). This indicates that the catalyst can facilitate the process. The absolute configuration of **3g** was determined by X-ray crystallography to be R (Fig. 2).¹⁷

In conclusion, we have developed a catalytic variant of the asymmetric Michael addition reactions between chalcones and thioacetic acid. The reactions are catalyzed by bifunctional amine thiourea **I**, affording synthetically useful thioesters in excellent yields with moderate enantioselectivities. The full scope and the further improvement of enantioselectivity of the process and its application in the synthesis of biologically active molecules are under investigation.

Table 1. Asymmetric Michael addition of thioacid (2) to trans-chalcone (1a) catalyzed by organocatalysts^a

	+ BCOSH	Organocatalyst (10 mol%)	RCOS	O ∐
Ph' 📉 Ph	100001	Et O #	Ph ~	∕ `Ph
1a	2a: R = CH ₃	$E_{12}O, \Pi$	3	
	2b: R = Ph		Ŭ	

Entry	Catalyst	R	<i>t</i> (h)	Yield ^b (%)	ee ^c (%)
1	I	Me	3	95	58
2	П	Me	4	90	0
3	Ш	Me	4	91	26
4	Quinine IV	Me	4	92	12
5	Quinidine V	Me	4	91	0
6	Hydroquinine VI	Me	4	92	8
7	I	Ph	12	41	33

^a Unless otherwise specified, the reaction was carried out using **1a** (0.1 mmol) and **2** (0.2 mmol) in the presence of 10 mol% catalyst in 0.5 mL of solvent at room temperature.

^b Isolated yields after chromatographic purification.

^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H).

Table 3. Catalytic asymmetric Michael addition of thioacetic acid to chalcones^a

		Catalyst I (10 mol%)	Catalyst I SAC O		
	R' ~ R ² 1 2	\mathbf{a} Et ₂ O, rt R'	3 [™] R ²		
Entry	Product	<i>t</i> (h)	Yield ^b (%)	ee ^c (%)	
1	SAC O 3a	3	95	58	
2	CI SAC O 3b	3	97	51	
3 ^d	CI SAC O	24	75	0	
4	F SAC O F SC F	3	100	33	
5	SAC O CI 3d	3	97	37	
6	SAC O 3e CI	3	96	48	
7	SAC O 3f OMe	3	97	50	
8	SAc O 3g	3	97	53	
9	HO SAC O	24	95	65	
10	SAC O S 3i	3	97	55	
11	SAc O 3j	10	93	15	
12	n-Bu 3k	12	90	0	

^a See footnote a in Table 1 and Supplementary data. ^b Isolated yield after chromatographic purification. ^c Determined by chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AS-H). ^d The reaction was run without catalyst **I**.



Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of 3g.

Acknowledgements

The financial support by the Department of Chemistry and the Research Allocation Committee, University of New Mexico, is gratefully acknowledged. The Bruker X8 X-ray diffractometer was purchased via an NSF CRIF:MU award to the University of New Mexico, CHE-0443580. The expert X-ray crystallographic measurements made by Dr. Eileen N. Duesler are greatly appreciated.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.tetlet. 2006.02.140.

References and notes

- (a) Metzner, P.; Thuillier, A. Sulfur Reagents in Organic Synthesis; Academic Press: New York, 1994; (b) Nudelman, A. The Chemistry of Optically Active Sulfur Compounds; Gordon and Breach: New York, 1984; (c) Chatgilialoglu, C.; Asmus, K.-D. Sulfur-Centered Reactive Intermediates in Chemistry and Biology; Springer: New York, 1991.
- 2. Perlmutter, P. Conjugate Addition Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Pergamon: Oxford, 1992.
- For recent reviews of asymmetric Michael addition reactions, see: (a) Krause, N.; Hoffmann-Röder, A. Synthesis 2001, 171; (b) Berner, O. M.; Tedeschi, L.; Enders, D. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 1877; (c) Christoffers, J.; Baro, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1688; (d) Sibi, M.; Manyem, S. Tetrahedron 2001, 56, 8033; (e) Pihko, P. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2062; (f) Seayad, J.; List, B. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 719; (g) Schreiner, P. R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2003, 32, 289.
- For examples of organometallics catalyzed Michael addition of thiols, see: (a) Zielinska-Błajet, M.; Kowalczyk, R.; Skarżewski, J. *Tetrahedron* 2005, *61*, 5235; (b) Emori, E.; Arai, T.; Sasai, H.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, *120*, 4043; (c) Nishimura, K.; Ono, M.; Nagaoka, Y.;

Tomioka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 12974; (d) Garg, S. K.; Kumar, R.; Chakraborti, A. K. Tetrahedron Lett. **2005**, 46, 1721.

- Organocatalyzed Michael addition of thiols, see: (a) Hiemstra, H.; Wynberg, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 417; (b) Colonna, S.; Re, A.; Wynberg, H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981, 547; (c) Suzuki, K.; Ikegawa, A.; Mukaiyama, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1982, 55, 3277; (d) McDaid, P.; Chen, Y.-G.; Deng, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 338; (e) Wabnitz, T. C.; Spencer, J. B. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2141.
- 6. In contrast, the conversion of thioether to thiol (SH) requires harsh reaction conditions, see: Greene, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. In *Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1999; p 454.
- Li, H.; Wang, J.; Zu, L.-S.; Wang, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 2585–2589.
- For a book discussing organocatalysis, see: Berkessel, A.; Groger, H. Asymmetric Organocatalysis-From Biomimetic Concepts to Applications in Asymmetric Synthesis; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2005.
- For recent reviews of organocatalysis, see: (a) Dalko, P. I.; Moisan, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3726; (b) Dalko, P. I.; Moisan, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5138; (c) Special issue on organocatalysis: Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 487; (d) Seayad, J.; List, B. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 719; (e) Tian, S.-K.; Chen, Y.-G.; Hang, J.-F.; Tang, L.; McDaid, P.; Deng, L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 621.
- For studies of the H-bonding interactions of ureas/ thioureas with nitro, carbonyl, and imine groups, see: (a) Etter, M. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 120; (b) Etter, M. C.; Urbanczyk-Lipkowska, Z.; Zia-Ebrahimi, M.; Panunto, T. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8415; (c) Etter, M. C.; Panunto, T. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 5896; (d) Schreiner, P. R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2003, 32, 289; (e) Schreiner, P. R.; Wittkopp, A. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 217; (f) Wittkopp, A.; Schreiner, P. R. Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 407.
- For Takemoto's amine thioureas catalysts, see: (a) Okino, T.; Hoashi, Y.; Furukawa, T.; Xu, X.; Takemoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 119, and references cited therein; (b) Berkessel, A.; Cleemann, F.; Mukherjee, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7466, and references cited therein.
- For Jacobsen's urea and thiourea catalysts, see: Taylor, M. S.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 10558, and references cited therein.
- We have developed binaphthyl derived amine thiourea for catalyzing: Morita–Baylis–Hillman reactions: (a) Wang, J.; Li, H.; Duan, W.; Zu, L.; Wang, W. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4293; Michael addition reaction: (b) Wang, J.; Li, H.; Yu, X.; Zu, L.; Wang, W. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4713.
- Vakulya, B.; Varga, S.; Csampai, A.; Soós, T. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1967.
- For cinchona alkaloids-based derivatives catalysts, see: (a) Tian, S.-K.; Chen, Y.-G.; Hang, J.-F.; Tang, L.; McDaid, P.; Deng, L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 621; (b) Li, H.; Wang, Y.; Tang, L.; Deng, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 9906; (c) Li, H.; Wang, Y.; Tang, L.; Wu, F.; Liu, X.; Guo, C.; Foxman, B. M.; Deng, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 105; (d) Tian, S.; Ran, H.; Deng, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9900.
- Kanai, M.; Kato, N.; Ichikawa, E.; Shibasaki, M. Synlett 2005, 1491.
- 17. See Supplementary data for X-ray crystallographic information; CCDC 283456 also contains Supplementary crystallographic data. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk.